HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

February 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997

CONTENTS

Section	1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	2 Facility Description2-1
Section	3 Compliance Status
Section	4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
3	Remedial Actions Required at Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Roaring River Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. The Hatchery is located along Roaring River (tributary to Crabtree Creek of the South Santiam River in the Willamette Basin) about 18 miles northeast of Albany, Oregon. The hatchery is used for rearing of Summer Steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead Results

The Roaring River facility includes two ponds for adult holding, 23 concrete raceways, 5 circular ponds, 10 fiberglass Canadian troughs, 10 wooden troughs, and incubation facilities. The hatchery was constructed in 1964 to mitigate for fish losses cause by construction of hydroelectric facilities on the Snake River in Hells Canyon.

The Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery did not have a Monitoring and Evaluation Plan in place and was not documenting its adult return or smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the temperature criteria for rearing, water quality monitoring requirements, alarm criteria, double screening requirements, and QA/QC tests for feed quality, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan, smoltification goals, and a smoltification monitoring program. The hatchery needed to provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins. The hatchery was not meeting all of the disinfection requirements for transport vehicles. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetics M&E program
- Develop fish contribution study program for IHOT
- Develop hatchery M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Disinfect equipment and personnel before and after use
- Document adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival
- Double-screen 1 raceway
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of the transport vehicles
- Monitor DO and TGP and record
- Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for rearing
- Review release strategy and need to acclimate
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Roaring River Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Summer Steelhead

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

Funding Agency: Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

Location: The Hatchery is located along Roaring River (tributary to Crabtree

Creek of the South Santiam River in the Willamette Basin) about 18

miles northeast of Albany, Oregon.

Address: 42279 Fish Hatchery Drive

Scio, OR 97374

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Don Faulhaber

Phone: (541) 394-2496

Fax:

Purpose: Roaring River Hatchery was constructed in 1924 and is operated with

State funds. In 1987, six new rearing ponds were constructed to replace the original ponds. The goal of the hatchery is to increase the sport catch of summer steelhead in the Molalla River, Santiam River

mainstem, and North Santiam River.

Production Goal: Summer Steelhead

Produce 65,000 smolts (14,440 pounds) for release into the Molalla

River

Produce 121,000 smolts (26,889 pounds) for release into the Santiam

River

Water Supply: Water rights total 11,225 gpm from Roaring River. Some water is

pumped through a filter system to insure a clean supply for incubation and early rearing. Water is reused from the upper to lower ponds.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 2 concrete brood ponds - 7,380 cf each

Incubation: 16 full stack vertical incubators (256 trays)

10 troughs - 8.3 cf each

Early Rearing: 7 Canadian troughs - 70 cf each

3 Canadian troughs - 53 cf each

2 circular tanks - 85 cf each

Raceways: 1 concrete raceway - 7,828 cf each

1 concrete raceway - 4,992 cf each

6 concrete raceways - 7,547 cf each

12 concrete raceways - 4,900 cf each

3 concrete raceways - 2,357 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 3 circular ponds - 502 cf each

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Roaring River Hatchery was conducted on February 5, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

 Table 1 Summary Program Information for Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Component		Location	of Adult Holding, Sp	pawning, Incubation,	and Rearing	
	South Santiam Hatchery	Oak Spring Hatchery	Roaring River Hatchery	Molalla and Santiam Rivers		
Adult Collection	✓					
Adult Holding	'					
Spawning	~					
Fertilization	~					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	·					
eyed-to-hatch		v				
Rearing						
fry		v				
fingerlings			~			
smolts			~			
Acclimation/release				~		

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	7	- Contraction	
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		~			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Santiam and Calapooia Basin Plan		
e hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		•			IHOT Operations Plan and Roaring River Hatchery O&M manual		
it understood by staff?		•					
it being followed?		~					
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?							
o you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				~	No tagging of steelhead; 100% fin clipped.	Develop M&E plan	
lt contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and thery				•	Review of records	Document adult contribution	
alt pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal	~				Spawning at South Santiam Hatchery		
-take as compared with established hatchery goal	~				See above		
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal	V				See above		
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established	V				Fry at Oak Springs		
to smolt survival as compared with established goal	~				Fry at Oak Springs		
duction as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years		
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal			V		Review of records	Document smolt-to-adult survival	
mber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs	~				Review of records/Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
perature						
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?	•				Spawning at South Santiam Hatchery	
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for acubation?	•				Incubation at South Santiam and Oak Springs	
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?				~	Review of records/Discussion; water too cold in winter	Review IHOT temperature criteria for rearing
solved gases						
s the oxygen level near saturation?			~		Occasional measurements	Monitor DO and record
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			~		No data	Monitor TGP and record
emistry						
Ammonia (un-ionized) Carbon Dioxide Chlorine H			>>>>		No data See above See above See above	Run analysis See above See above See above
Copper Lydrogen Sulfide			~		See above See above	See above See above
on inc			~		See above See above	See above See above
bidity						
Ooes your turbidity meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
alinity and hardness						
oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			/		No data	Run analysis
ite						
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			/		No data	Run analysis
Contaminants						
Ildrin Indrin Dieldrin Ieptachlor Chlordane Iethoxychlor Lindane Ialathion Suthion			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		No data See above	Run analysis See above
hogens What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water? Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			V	Not at this hatchery Not at this hatchery Not at this hatchery Inspection of facilities/Discussion Not at this hatchery	None

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
rm Systems						
Oo the following areas have alarms?						
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\(\frac{1}{2}\)		•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No incubation for this program No quarantine areas and facilities No water treatment systems Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?		~			Discussion	
are water flow alarms checked daily?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Discussion	
s there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?				•	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
are telephone pagers used?		~			Discussion	
ılt collection and holding facilities						
Oo you meet the adult holding criteria?	~				Adult holding at South Santiam Hatchery	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	-	
abation facilities							
'ype 1: Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	•				Incubation at South Santiam and Oak Springs		
Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				See above		
ring facilities							
ype 1: Concrete raceway (1) No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion; prone to flooding in high water		
Type 2: Concrete raceways (5) Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion		
'ype 3: Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~						
eening facilities							
Oo you meet the approach velocity criteria?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion		
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion		
Ooes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion		
are rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Double-screen type 1 raceway	
dator control facilities							
are your predation control facilities effective?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<u>,</u>	•
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?		~		<u>.</u>	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				~	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				~	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				~	Discussion	See above
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		~			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		~			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	•				Hand feed steelhead	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
ease facilities						
To the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?	~				Do not release at the hatchery	
ution abatement facilities						
To the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal nd state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statı	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
odstock selection practices						
the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	~				Existing program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	•				Existing program; does not apply	
wning practices						
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	•				No spawning on station	
abation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery ations plan?	•				No spawning on station	
incubation practices written?	•				See above	
bation Type 1: (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	~				See above	
bation Type 2: (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	•	•
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				~	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards and practices for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				~	Practices and standards written but not	See above
tearing Unit Type 1: <u>Concrete raceway (1)</u> (see #9)					part of a formal plan.	
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
tearing Unit Type 2: <u>Concrete raceways (5)</u> (see #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
tearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	V				Review of records/Discussion	
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		-
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? M #27)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the nitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
re the following water quality parameters within iteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases			V	~	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a See PM #5b
Chemistry Turbidity			\(\sigma \)		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d See PM #5e
Nitrite			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			/		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? M #31)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	k
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification No you measure percent smoltification? No you have a smoltification goal No you meet the smoltification criteria?			V	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
nber (at release)						
Did you meet the release number goal?		/			Review of records/Discussion	
Did you meet the size goal?		\ \			Review of records/Discussion	
es of release					Review of records/Discussion	
Did you meet the release date goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?						
are the fish reared in the subbasin?				~	Discussion. Molalla fish not reared in subbasin.	Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				~	Discussion	See above
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?			/		Discussion	Review release strategy and need to acclimate

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
nsportation facilities						
To transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Only disinfected after use	Disinfect equipment and personnel before and after use
s the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		~			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				~	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of the transport vehicles
s the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				~	Discussion	See above
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?		~			Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes		~				
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		~			Discussion	
Oo personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		~			Discussion	
On the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive in inspection and service prior to the release season?		~			Discussion	
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	-
nsportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior bloading?		~			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to pading fish in the transport unit?		~			Discussion	
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?		~			Discussion	
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?		~			Discussion	
water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?		~			Discussion	
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
luation practices						
as the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies :						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				•	Discussion	Develop fishery contribution study program for IHOT
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				•	Discussion	See above
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				•	Discussion	See above
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				•	Discussion	See above
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				•	Discussion	See above

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records/Discussion	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
leview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
teport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
lecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ansfer to another facility.		•			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
annually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
annually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
re there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially or incubation and early rearing?				•	No incubation or early rearing on station	None
re the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	~				See above	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?	~				See above	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?	•				See above	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
water quality parameters being followed?						
are the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants			************	~	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5g
io to PM #21						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)	•				No incubation on station	
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19) io to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19		•			Review of records/Discussion	
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		'			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin nagement plan? So to subbasin plan PM #1		7			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Santiam and Calapooia Basin Plan	
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		>			IHOT Operations Plan and Roaring River Hatchery O&M manual	
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?				~	No tagging of steelhead	See PM #3
to to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
the hatchery program meet requirements						
olished in the regional hatchery policies and						
asin planning documents in the following areas:						
ies, stock, broodstock collection location, dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
spawning and egg-take protocols?						
oes the hatchery program meet the requirements for e following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)	~				No spawning on-station	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	~				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	~				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	~				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
earing density (PM #22a2)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
visease condition (PM #22a3)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Jumber at release (PM #22a4)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
ize at release (PM #22a5)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Pate of release (PM #22a6)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?				~	Discussion	See PM #22b
PM #22b						
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?			~		Discussion	See PM #22c
PM #22c						

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Ī	-	
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?							
the broodstock collection plan written?	~				Brood stock collected at South Santiam		
Poes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:					Hatchery		
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	~						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~						
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?	~						

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	? No		1	_	
the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?							
re the spawning protocols written?	~				Spawning and incubation at South Santiam or Oak Springs Hatcheries		
re daily or weekly spawning logs available?	~				See above		
as the appropriate number of spawners used?	~				See above		
id you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and ndomize mating with respect to age class, and other nits?	V				See above		
as the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?	V				See above		
ere the fertilization protocols followed?	~				See above		
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs stained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?	~				See above		

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	_
nere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
s a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?				~	None provided	Develop approved genetics M&E program
Poes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				~	See above	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				~	See above	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				~	See above	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				~		See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

	71
Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Install security alarms		6
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Develop hatchery M&E plan		3
Document adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival		4a, 4h
Review IHOT temperature criteria for rearing		5a
Develop alarm log		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan		19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Review release strategy and the need to acclimate		22c
Disinfect equipment and personnel before and after use		23
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of the transport vehicles		23
Develop fish contribution study program for IHOT		24
Develop approved genetics M&E program		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor DO and TGP and record		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c-5g

_

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Double-screen 1 raceway	\$500	
Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins (2 ponds)	\$2.0 million	22b
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Section 5

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Year	Fisheries ¹	Spawning Grounds	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution ²	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	,
1982					
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1988	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1989	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1990	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1991					
1992					

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Tables 5a, 5b, and 5c).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead (a)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Roaring River Hatchery	\$85,069	\$85,069	\$84,700
2. South Santiam Hatchery	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865
3. Oak Spring Hatchery	\$73,835	\$75,863	\$161,661
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$334,598	\$325,598	\$445,226

⁽a) Used FY1996 data to estimate % costs for StSu program FY93-95.

The total expenditures for the Roaring River Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Roaring River Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
Summer Steelhead	\$85,069	\$85,069	\$84,700
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$85,069	\$85,069	\$84,700

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at Roaring River Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$173,841	\$173,841	\$171,681
Operational Costs	\$92,000	\$92,000	\$93,050
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$265,841	\$265,841	\$264,731
Source of Funds			
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total (a)	32%	32%	32%
Program Costs	\$85,069	\$85,069	\$84,700

(a) Based on FY96 percenta	ge.
----------------------------	-----

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 5b. Annual Operating Expenses: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at South Santiam Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
	30%	30%	30%
	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	41,452	40,968	44,100
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	40.6%	40.6%	40.6%
Program Costs	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 5c. Annual Operating Expenses: Roaring River Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at Oak Springs Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$219,923	\$219,923	\$220,865
Operational Costs	\$125,111	\$125,111	\$139,555
Capital Costs	\$0	\$0	\$360,420
Indirect Costs	\$60,654	\$60,654	\$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$405,687	\$405,687	\$796361
Source of Funds			
	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	30,900	31,750	34,481
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	18.2%	18.7%	20.3%
Program Costs	\$73,835	\$75,863	\$161,661

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Roaring River Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$173,841	\$173,841	\$171,681
Operational Costs	\$92,000	\$92,000	\$93,050
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$265,841	\$265,841	\$264,731
Source of Funds			
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total (a)	32%	32%	32%
Program Costs	\$85,069	\$85,069	\$84,700

(a) Based on FY96 percentage.

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.